Guidelines and Clinical Pearls for Laser Vision Correction

Raymond Stein, MD, FRCSC; Rebecca Stein, MD, FRCSC

Abstract

LASIK and PRK are well established procedures for the correction of myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism. The percentage of ophthalmologists and optometrists that have undergone these procedures is higher than in the general population.

The clinical goal is to continue to advance the technology and techniques to improve outcomes. The newest and considered the most advanced custom vision correction is Topography-guided LASIK and Topography-guided PRK using advanced analytics software. Topographic corneal imaging is used to measure over 20,000 data points, and this curvature information is used to guide the excimer laser to reduce irregularity of the corneal surface and treat the refractive error. In addition, the excimer laser ablation is centered on the line of sight versus the center of the pupil, and there is iris registration to account for cyclotorsion. Outcomes have shown a 98% chance of achieving 20/20 or better. This is the best outcomes ever reported in the peerreview literature in the field of refractive surgery. A prospective randomized trial is underway to confirm the encouraging results. We are at a stage in laser vision correction that patients can achieve improved vision quality over glasses and contact lenses.

Ophthalmologists, optometrists, and allied-health personnel involved in patient counselling in refractive surgery, need to stay current with the latest information on patient selection to continue to achieve the best outcomes.

This course covers important guidelines and clinical pearls for Laser Vision Correction based on the scientific literature and 30 years of clinical experience.

Refractive Parameters:

Understanding the refractive limitations of excimer laser treatments allow for enhanced outcomes and minimizes the risks of complications such as induced high-order aberrations and regression. Laser vision correction should be delayed until 18 years of age or older so patients can give informed consent for the procedure. There are limitations to the amount of correction for myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism, as well as to how steep or how flat a cornea can be altered and still maintain quality of vision. The surgical goal is to overcome the physiological corneal healing response to create and maintain a new corneal shape. Over the years, advances in excimer laser technology with flying spot lasers and large optical and transition zones have allowed for more precise and stable refractive outcomes. (See Table 1)

Raymond Stein, MD, FRCSC - Medical Director, Bochner Eye Institute; Professor of Ophthalmology and Vision Sciences, University of Toronto; Cornea, Cataract, and Refractive Surgery Specialist Rebecca Stein, MD, FRCSC - Staff Ophthalmologist, Bochner Eye Institute; Cornea, Cataract, and Refractive Surgery Specialist *Correspondence: Raymond Stein, MD, FRCSC Bochner Eye Institute,* 40 Prince Arthur Avenue Toronto, Ontario, MSR 1A9 Canada E-mail: raymondmstein@gmail.com This article has been peer reviewed.

Table I		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Stable Refractive Error ^{1,2}	Exception are patients pursuing a career that require a level of uncorrected vision, such as police force, firefighter, or pilot. Higher risk of enhancement.	
Myopia < 10.0 D ^{1,2}	If higher RX or lower RX with thin cornea, consider Implantable Contact Lens (ICL) if anterior chamber (AC) \ge 2.8 mm, or Refractive Lens Exchange (RLE) if presbyopic and not interested in ICL with monovision. In high myopia with an axial length $>$ 25 mm, there is a higher risk of retinal tears and retinal detachment with RLE compared to ICL.	
Predicted post-op cornea ≥ 32 D ^{1,2}	If predicted post-op cornea < 32 D, consider myopic ICL if AC depth \geq to 2.8 mm, or RLE if presbyopic.	
Hyperopia < 5.0 D ^{1,2}	If higher Rx, or steep preop cornea, to consider ICL if AC > or = 3.0 mm, or RLE if presbyopic.	
Predicted postop cornea < 50 D ^{1,2}	Too steep a cornea results in a rapid tear breakup and dry eye symptoms. Consider hyperopic ICL if predicted postop cornea = or > 50 D, if AC depth > or = to 3.0 mm, or RLE if presbyopic.	
Astigmatism = or < 6 D ^{1.2}	Custom TG-LASIK and TG-PRK allows for iris registration to compensate for cyclotorsion. This custom technology can improve results especially for higher degrees of cylinder.	

Other Eye Findings:

Patient selection can be altered by abnormalities or normal variations of the lids, cornea, iris, pupil, lens, retina, and optic nerve. The age of the patient and their lifestyle goals can influence the type of refractive procedure. (See Table 2)

Table 2		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Presbyopia ³	The best candidates for monovision LASIK or PRK are patients that have done well with monovision contact lenses. If patients are ok with a pair of readers, then laser surgery can provide best distance vision. To consider RLE if there are any significant lenticular changes, or if patients prefer a multifocal or extended-depth of focus implant to provide distance and near with both eyes.	Ø
Contact lens wear: soft or hard lenses ⁴	Candidate for either LASIK or PRK. Important to have refractive and topographic stability, which is usually achieved after 5 days of discontinuing soft contact lenses and one month with rigid gas permeable lenses. This can vary with hard lenses up to 6 months or in cases of orthokeratology up to one year.	
Recurrent Corneal Erosions ⁵	Preferred procedure is PRK, which roughens Bowman's layer to promote epithelial adhesions and decrease corneal erosions.	
Nystagmus ^{1,2}	Candidate for PRK or LASIK. Tracking systems can compensate for eye movements and/or surgeon can stabilize the eye with a fixation device.	
Amblyopia or Monocular Patients ⁶	Patients can have LASIK or PRK but need to understand the increased risks with only one functional eye.	
Keratoconus, Pellucid Marginal Degeneration ⁷	Consider Corneal Crosslinking (CXL) and Topography-guided PRK (TG- PRK) to stabilize and improve vision. LASIK is contraindicated because of risk of further ectasia.	?
Thin Cornea < 480 microns ⁸	Rule-out Keratoconus using computerized tomography to evaluate both the anterior and posterior cornea. If there is no evidence of keratoconus and a low refractive error, to consider PRK. If the refractive error is high, to consider ICL, or RLE in a presbyope.	?



Table 2 continued		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Pupils > 8 mm ⁹	Clinical studies show no increased risk of halos. Nevertheless, patients with very large pupils should be warned of the potential for halos at night.	?
Dry Eyes ^{1,2}	Relative contraindication. If dry eye with corneal involvement (SPK), this needs to be resolved prior to surgery. May have aqueous deficiency or meibomian gland dysfunction. LASIK or PRK have not been shown to induce a dry eye in the long- term.	?
Blepharitis ^{1,2}	Inflammation of lids results in an increased risk of a corneal ulcer or infiltrate. Treatment is necessary to optimize the lid margins. This may include antibiotic and/or steroid drops, lid wipes, and compresses.	?
Epithelial Basement Membrane Dystrophy (EBMD) ¹⁰	If EBMD is present centrally and there is astigmatism > or = to 0.75 D, then consider superficial keratectomy followed by PRK when refraction and topography are stable. Higher risk of epithelial defects with LASIK.	?
Salzmann's Nodular Degeneration ¹⁰	If there is reduced best-corrected acuity or induced astigmatism secondary to Salzmann's nodules, then a superficial keratectomy should be performed. Following a keratectomy, when refractive and topographic stability are achieved, then LASIK or PRK can be performed.	?
Corneal Scarring ^{1,2}	If satisfactory corneal thickness, and scarring does not interfere with best- corrected acuity, then LASIK or PRK can be performed. If there is reduced best- acuity secondary to irregular astigmatism or because of the opacity, then TG-PRK can be performed. Removal of the scar tissue depends on the scar depth, thickness, location, and amount of ablation guided by the refractive error.	?
High Angle Kappa (difference between center of pupil and line of sight) ¹¹	A standard excimer ablation is centered over the pupil. In patients with a high angle kappa, it is preferred to use a custom ablation to allow centration over the line of sight.	?
Inflammatory Eye Disease such as Iritis or Uveitis ¹²	Important to have inflammation under control with a quiet period of one year prior to laser vision correction.	?
Herpes Simplex Corneal Disease ¹³	Needs to be inactive for at least 1.5 years. Surgery contraindicated if reduced best-corrected spectacle acuity (BCSVA) secondary to corneal scarring. If excellent BCSVA, then surgery can be considered with the use of Acyclovir pre-op and post-op to decrease chance of recurrence.	?
Fuchs Corneal Dystrophy ¹⁴	A corneal endothelial dystrophy can lead to reduced vision secondary to corneal edema and require an endothelial transplant (DSEK or DMEK). Most patients with corneal guttata never require a transplant. If the patient has excellent best-corrected acuity, a clear cornea, and a corneal thickness of less than 600 microns, then the long-term prognosis with LASIK or PRK is excellent. All patients need to be counselled about the risk of corneal edema.	?
Night vision issues or reduced quality of vision secondary to higher- order aberrations ¹⁵	Topography-guided LASIK or Topography-guided PRK can be performed using analytic software to improve quality of vision and uncorrected visual acuity.	?
Lattice degeneration and/or retinal holes ¹⁶	Patients are at higher risk of a retinal detachment with or without surgery. Consideration can be given to PRK over LASIK because of less pressure on the eye and theoretically a lower chance of a posterior vitreous detachment resulting in a retinal tear. Nevertheless, in a 10-year study of over 20,000 nearsighted patients, LASIK did not increase the overall risk of retinal detachment.	?



Table 2 continued		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Orthokeratology ¹⁷	Gas permeable contact lenses worn at night can reduce myopia by flattening the cornea. This is achieved by thinning corneal epithelial cells centrally and thickening in the midperipheral. Significant corneal instability results when the contact lenses are discontinued. Patients may undergo LASIK or PRK when the refractive error and topography become stable, which may take up to a year.	?
Prisms in Glasses ^{1,2}	Prisms in glasses are typically given to treat double vision from eye misalignment. Underlying issues may be an eye muscle problem such as strabismus, or nerve- related issues. Patients can have laser vision correction to reduce the thickness of their glasses, but glasses with prisms will still be required.	?
Glaucoma ¹⁸	If normal visual field and IOP under control this is a relative contraindication. IOP must be carefully monitored postop especially with use of topical steroids and a thinner cornea that can artificially create a lower intraocular pressure. Patients with advanced glaucoma with field loss should not undergo laser vision correction.	?
Lagophthalmos ^{1,2}	Poor lid closure can be secondary to thyroid eye disease, Bell's palsy, eyelid surgery with excessive tissue removal, floppy eyelid syndrome, and other conditions. Lagophthalmos is a contraindication to surgery as this can lead to a displaced flap or poor epithelial healing in PRK.	8
Cataracts that Interfere with visual acuity or quality of vision ^{1,2}	Cataract surgery is indicated. An increase in myopia is a common finding with nuclear cataracts. Detection of significant intraocular higher-order aberrations can be the first sign of an early cataract.	×
Chalazion ¹⁹	A large-size chalazion, especially of the upper lid can induce astigmatism and induction of higher-order aberrations. It is important to have resolution of the chalazion prior to LASIK or PRK.	×

Prior Ocular Surgery:

The type of previous eye surgery influences the decision on the type of refractive surgery. Complications can occur if the incorrect procedure is chosen. Clinicians should understanding the risks in different senerios and counsel patients accordingly. (See Table 3)

Table 3		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
LASIK ²⁰	LASIK enhancement typically up to 12 months. Lifting a LASIK flap after 12 months increases the risk of epithelial ingrowth, especially if a mechanical microkeratome was used versus a femtosecond laser. If greater than 12 months, to consider PRK if satisfactory corneal thickness and no evidence of ectasia.	Ø
PRK ²¹	If corneal thickness is satisfactory and there is no evidence of ectasia, then a PRK enhancement can be performed. The other option is a primary LASIK procedure, if the predicted residual stromal tissue beneath the flap is > 280 microns.	
Pseudophakia (previous cataract or refractive lens exchange) ^{22,23}	Both LASIK and PRK are options. Other consideration is a secondary piggyback IOL in the sulcus or an ICL. Glare and halos in a patient with a multifocal or extended-depth of focus implant, can be reduced by the correction of a residual refractive error.	
Implantable Contact Lens (ICL) ²⁴	Both LASIK and PRK are options. If there is residual astigmatism, consideration can be given to rotation of the ICL. Wavefront analysis that measures both the anterior corneal astigmatism and intraocular astigmatism, can allow for surgical planning on the required degrees and direction of rotation of a misaligned ICL.	
Radial keratotomy ^{25,26}	If hyperopic, to consider PRK, ICL if AC depth \ge to 3.0 mm, or RLE if presbyopic. If myopic, to consider PRK, ICL if AC depth \ge 2.8 mm, or RLE if presbyopic. LASIK is contraindicated. Important to understand that postop results can be unstable if there is further flattening from the RK incisions and a hyperopic shift.	?



Table 3 continued		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Previous laser vision correction and night vision issues or reduced quality of vision secondary to higher- order aberrations ^{27,28}	Topography-guided PRK can be performed to improve both quality of vision and uncorrected visual acuity. Small optical zones can be enlarged, a decentered ablation can be corrected, and any surface irregularity can be reduced. It is important to rule out any lenticular changes as the main cause of the reduced quality of vision.	?
Corneal graft ²⁹	Safer to proceed with PRK so as not to cut through the graft-host junction creating wound instability and induction of irregular astigmatism.	?
Retinal detachment ³⁰	If satisfactory vision following retinal detachment repair, patient can have either LASIK or PRK. If the scleral buckle is anterior, and/or there is scarring of the conjunctiva, these factors may interfere with achieving satisfactory suction for the LASIK flap.	?

Systemic Condition:

A complete history is taken to identify systemic conditions, as some conditions can affect the outcomes and healing response. (See Table 4)

Table 4		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Dermatologic Keloids ³¹	There is no evidence of an increased risk of corneal haze or scarring with a history of skin keloid formation. Candidate for LASIK or PRK.	
HIV infection ³²	There are no studies on the efficacy and safety of performing laser surgery on patients with HIV. Patients may be candidates for LASIK or PRK, provided there are no acute ocular complications from HIV and the patient is on systemic treatment. Extra operative precautions should be taken by the surgeon and staff.	
Collagen Vascular Disease (CVD): Rheumatoid Arthritis, Systemic Lupus, Scleroderma, Dermatomyositis, Ankylosing Spondylitis, Psoriatic Arthritis ^{33,34,35}	In the absence of any active ocular complications secondary to CVD, a normal tear film function, and stable disease controlled by a single medication, the patient can have laser vision correction. LASIK is preferred over PRK because of the faster healing time and less risk of corneal haze and scarring.	?
Diabetes Mellitus (DM) ^{36,37}	In well controlled DM, with normal corneal sensation, and no retinopathy, patients can undergo laser vision correction. LASIK is preferred over PRK because of the potential for poor epithelial healing in diabetics.	?
Nursing ³⁸	Hormonal changes during nursing can potentially alter the refractive error. When the prescription returns to the pre-pregnancy level, the patient can have LASIK or PRK.	?
Pregnancy ^{39,40}	Hormonal changes during preganancy can alter the refractive error. In addition, there is a risk of transferring eye medications to the infant. When the prescription returns to the pre-pregnancy level, the patient can have LASIK or PRK.	×



Systemic Medications:

All medications should be documented, as there are rare situations in which drugs can affect the outcomes. (See Table 5)

Table 5		
FINDINGS	COMMENTS	INDICATION
Blood Thinners: Antiplatelet (aspirin, Plavix), and Anticoagulants (Coumadin, Heparin, Pradaxa) ^{1,2}	LASIK and PRK can be performed with good outcomes. There is an increased risk of a subconjunctival hemorrhage from the suction ring used to create the LASIK flap.	
Amiodarone ⁴¹	An antiarrhythmic medication used to treat and prevent a number of types of irregular heartbeats. Although there were concerns in the past of doing laser vision correction in patients on Amiodarone, recent studies have shown satisfactory outcomes.	
Accutane (Isotretinoin) ⁴²	Medication used for severe acne can potentially cause a dry eye. Recent studies have shown that patients can have a successful outcome with both LASIK and PRK. However, it is important to only advise surgery if there are no dry eye complaints and clinical examination of the anterior segment is normal.	?

Summary

Laser Vision Correction outcomes have continued to improve secondary to better patient selection, advanced techniques, precise laser technologies, and management of any postoperative concerns. This course provided a detailed overview of patient selection, that was divided into an analysis of the refractive parameters, recognizing any underlying eye conditions, determining any prior ocular surgery, a history of any systemic conditions, and determining any systemic medications. Understanding these important factors allows for a detailed knowledge of the indications and contraindications for laser vision correction leading improved outcomes.

References

- 1. del Barrio JL, Wilkins M, Cochener B, Ang M. Refractive surgery. The Lancet. 2019 May 18;393(10185):2085-98.
- 2. Azar DT. Refractive surgery e-book. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2019 Jan 9.
- 3. Stein RM, Stein RL. Surgical Correction of Presbyopia: A Focus on New Techniques. Ophthalmology Rounds. 2014;10(6):1-8.
- 4. Shehadeh-Mashor R, Mimouni M, Shapira Y, Sela T, Munzer G, Kaiserman I. Duration of contact lens removal before myopic refractive surgery. European Journal of Ophthalmology. 2020 Aug 18:1120672120949101.
- Miller DD, Hasan SA, Simmons NL, Stewart MW. Recurrent corneal erosion: a comprehensive review. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ). 2019;13:325.
- Kulikova IL, Pashtaev NP, Batkov YN, Pikusova SM, Terent'eva AE. Femtosecond Laser–Assisted LASIK in Children With Hyperopia and Anisometropic Amblyopia: 7 Years of Followup. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2020 Jun 1;36(6):366-73.

- 7. Stein RM, Topography-Guided PRK and Crosslinking, in Cornea, Holland and Mannis, Elsevier, 2021
- Sorkin N, Kaiserman I, Domniz Y, Sela T, Munzer G, Varssano D. Risk assessment for corneal ectasia following photorefractive keratectomy. Journal of ophthalmology. 2017 Jul 26;2017.
- 9. Myung D, Schallhorn S, Manche EE. Pupil size and LASIK: a review. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2013 Nov 1;29(11):734-41.
- Stein R, Salim G. False corneal ectasia in patients referred for corneal crosslinking, topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy, and intrastromal corneal rings. Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2019 Jun 1;54(3):374-81.
- Reinstein, D.Z., Archer, T.J., Rowe, E.L., Gobbe, M. and Vida, R.S., 2021. Distribution of Pupil Offset and Angle Kappa in a Refractive Surgery Preoperative Population of 750 Myopic, Emmetropic, and Hyperopic Eyes. Journal of Refractive Surgery, 37(1), pp.49-58.
- 12. Stein R. Photorefractive keratectomy. International ophthalmology clinics. 2000 Jul 1;40(3):35-56.
- Moshirfar M, Milner DC, Baker PA, McCabe SE, Ronquillo YC, Hoopes PC. Corneal Refractive Surgery in Patients with a History of Herpes Simplex Keratitis: A Narrative Review. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ). 2020;14:3891.
- Rocha-de-Lossada C, FEBO RR, Colmenero-Reina E, Borroni MD, Sánchez-González JM. Laser Refractive Surgery in Corneal Dystrophies. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery. 2020 Nov 2.
- 15. Lobanoff M, Stonecipher K, Tooma T, Wexler S, Potvin R. Clinical outcomes after topography-guided LASIK: comparing results based on a new topography analysis algorithm with those based on manifest refraction. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020 Jun;46(6):814-819.



- 16. Venkatesh R, James E, Jayadev C. Screening and prophylaxis of retinal degenerations prior to refractive surgery. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2020 Dec 1;68(12):2895.
- 17. Sánchez-García A, Ariza MA, Büchler P, Molina-Martin A, Piñero DP. Structural changes associated to orthokeratology: A systematic review. Contact Lens and Anterior Eye. 2020 Oct 10.
- Helmy H, Hashem O. Intraocular pressure calculation in myopic patients after laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ). 2020;14:509.
- 19. Jin, K.W., Shin, Y.J. & Hyon, J.Y. Effects of chalazia on corneal astigmatism. BMC Ophthalmol 17, 36 (2017)
- 20. Chan C, Lawless M, Sutton G, Hodge C. Re-treatment in LASIK: to flap lift or perform surface ablation. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2020 Jan 1;36(1):6-11.
- Moshirfar M, Villarreal A, Thomson AC, West WB, McCabe SE, Zanabria EQ, Graham DB, Ronquillo YC, Hoopes PC. PRK Enhancement for Residual Refractive Error After Primary PRK: A Retrospective Study. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2021 Mar;10(1):175-85.
- 22. Fan YY, Sun CC, Chen HC, Ma DH. Photorefractive keratectomy for correcting residual refractive error following cataract surgery with premium intraocular lens implantation. Taiwan journal of ophthalmology. 2018 Jul;8(3):149.
- 23. Kim P, Briganti EM, Sutton GL, Lawless MA, Rogers CM, Hodge C. Laser in situ keratomileusis for refractive error after cataract surgery. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery. 2005 May 1;31(5):979-86.
- 24. Sánchez-Galeana CA, Smith RJ, Rodriguez X, Montes M, Chayet AS. Laser in situ keratomileusis and photorefractive keratectomy for residual refractive error after phakic intraocular lens implantation.
- Ghoreishi M, Peyman A, Koosha N, Golabchi K, Pourazizi M. Topography-guided transepithelial photorefractive keratectomy to correct irregular refractive errors after radial keratotomy. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery. 2018 Mar 1;44(3):274-9.
- 26. Ghoreishi, M., Abtahi, M.A., Seyedzadeh, I., Fesharaki, H., Mohammadnia, M., Jahanbani-Ardakani, H. and Abtahi, S.H., 2017. Photorefractive keratectomy in the management of postradial keratotomy hyperopia and astigmatism. Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, 22.
- 27. Reinstein DZ, Archer TJ, Carp GI, Stuart AJ, Rowe EL, Nesbit A, Moore T. Incidence and outcomes of optical zone enlargement and recentration after previous myopic LASIK by topographyguided custom ablation. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2018 Feb 1;34(2):121-30.
- 28. Moshirfar M, Jehangir N, Fenzl CR, McCaughey M. LASIK enhancement: clinical and surgical management. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2017 Feb 1;33(2):116-27.

- 29. e Silva FB, Hazarbassanov RM, Martines E, Güell JL, Hofling-Lima AL. Visual outcomes and aberrometric changes with topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy treatment of irregular astigmatism after penetrating keratoplasty. Cornea. 2018 Mar 1;37(3):283-9.
- 30. Kanclerz P, Grzybowski A. Does corneal refractive surgery increase the risk of retinal detachment? A literature review and statistical analysis. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2019 Aug 1;35(8):517-24.
- Bower KS, Woreta F. Update on contraindications for laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis and photorefractive keratectomy. Current opinion in ophthalmology. 2014 Jul 1;25(4):251-7.
- 32. Tisdale CS, Justin GA, Wang X, Chu X, Carlton DK, Okulicz JF, Schofield C, Maves RC, Agan BK, Legault GL, Cammarata S. Refractive surgery in the HIV-positive US Military Natural History Study Cohort: complications and risk factors. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery. 2019 Nov 1;45(11):1612-8.
- Simpson RG, Moshirfar M, Edmonds JN, Christiansen SM, Behunin N. Laser in situkeratomileusis in patients with collagen vascular disease: A review of the literature. Clin Ophthalmol. 2012;6:1827–37.
- Alio JL, Artola A, Belda JI, Perez-Santonja JJ, Muñoz G, Javaloy J, et al. LASIK in patients with rheumatic diseases: A pilot study. Ophthalmology. 2005;112:1948–54
- 35. Smith RJ, Maloney RK. Laser in situ keratomileusis in patients with autoimmune diseases. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2006;32:1292–5.
- 36. Fraunfelder FW, Rich LF. Laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis complications in diabetes mellitus. Cornea. 2002;21:246–8.
- Halkiadakis I, Belfair N, Gimbel HV. Laser in situ keratomileusis in patients with diabetes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2005;31:1895–8.
- Moshirfar M, Rosen DB, Heiland MB, Ronquillo YC, Hoopes PC. Should I Get LASIK If I'm Breastfeeding?. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2019 Sep 1;8(3):349-52.
- 39. Park SB, Lindahl KJ, Temnycky GO, Aquavella JV. The effect of pregnancy on corneal curvature. CLAO J. 1992;18:256–9.
- 40. Moshirfar M, Rosen DB, Heiland MB, Ronquillo YC, Hoopes PC. Should I Get LASIK If I'm Breastfeeding?. Ophthalmology and Therapy. 2019 Sep 1;8(3):349-52.
- Ortega-Usobiaga, J., Llovet-Osuna, F., Djodeyre, M.R., Cobo-Soriano, R., Llovet-Rausell, A. and Baviera-Sabater, J., 2016. LASIK and surface ablation in patients treated with amiodarone. Archivos de la Sociedad Española de Oftalmología (English Edition), 91(11), pp.520-525.
- 42. Ortega-Usobiaga J, Llovet-Osuna F, Djodeyre MR, Bilbao-Calabuig R, González-López F, Llovet-Rausell A, Druchkiv V. Outcomes of laser in situ keratomileusis and photorefractive keratectomy in patients taking isotretinoin. American journal of ophthalmology. 2018 Aug 1;192:98-103.